https://napass.org.ng

https://napass.org.ng

Original Article





OPEN ACCESS Correspondence: Specialty Section; This article was

submitted to Sciences a section of NAPAS.

Submitted: 15th October, 2024 Accepted: 10th November, 2024

Citation: Aboiyar, Terhemen and Enonche, Francis (2024) Probabilists' Hermite Collocation Method For Solving Two Point Higher Order Linear Boundary Problems Of Ordinary Differential Equations.

Effective Date: Vol7(2), 61-72

Publisher:cPrint,Nig.Ltd Email:cprintpublisher@gmail.com

Probabilists' Hermite Collocation Method For Solving Two Point Higher Order Linear Boundary Problems Of Ordinary Differential Equations

Aboiyar, Terhemen and Enonche, Francis

Department of Mathematics, College of Physical Sciences, Joseph Sarwuan Tarka University, Makurdi,Nigeria

Abstract

In this paper, a collocation method for approximating higher order boundary value problems of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) utilizing the probabilists' Hermite polynomials of degree 10 as basis function was developed. The scheme was found to have circumvented the Runge phenomenon. Three examples of linear boundary value problems of orders 6,8 and 10 were used as test equations and approximated using the constructed scheme. All the implementations were carried out via MAPLE software and compared with the analytical solutions. The absolute errors shows that the developed method provided good approximation and the results were better than some other numerical solutions available in literature. This demonstrates the reliability and efficiency of the scheme.

Key Words: Collocation method, Probabilists' polynomials, Runge phenomenon

1. Introduction

Higher order boundary value problems (BVPs) arise when mathematical constraints are slightly stretched in order to provide compatibility with physical realities or when the factor that affect the problems are analyzed concurrently (Aydialik and Keris, 2006). Higher order BVPs of ODEs have been used to model many physical phenomena. Although there are several applications of such problems, many cannot be solved analytically in terms of elementary functions like polynomials, trigonometric functions, exponential functions, logarithmic functions or their combinations. Even if a differential equation can be solved analytically, considerable effort and sound mathematical theory are often needed and the closed form solution may turn out to be very complicated (Li et al., 2018). If the exact solution of a differential equation is too difficult to obtain or not available or take a complicated form, then an approximate solution is preferred.

A variety of approximate methods to solving higher order BVPs abound in literature. These include: the homotopy analysis method (HAM)(Siddiqi and Iftikhar,2013), homotopy perturbation method (HPM)(Razali *et al.*,2016), modified decomposition method (Wazwaz, 2000), Haar Wavelets (Fazal andAli, 2011), second kind Chebychev wavelet (Xu and Zhou, 2015), implicit block method (Adeyeye and Omar, 2019), and the reproducing kernel space method (Akram and Rehman, 2013).

The focus in this research is on the collocation method using orthogonal polynomial as basis functions for approximating higher order BVPs. Classical orthogonal polynomials include Hermite, Jacobi and Laguerre and their special cases include Gegenbuer, Chebychev and Legendre polynomials (Nagaich and Kumar, 2014, Yisa, 2015).

Due to the availability of computers, many researchers in recent years have applied orthogonal polynomials as basis functions for developing approximate methods which are comparable to exact solutions. For example, the Legendre polynomials (Hossain and Islam, 2013), Jacobi polynomials (Aminataei and Imani, 2011, Abd-Elhameed, 2015), Chebychev polynomials (Dolapci, 2014, Yalcinbas *et al.*, 2010), Laguerre polynomials(Gurbitz *et al.*, 2011) have been utilized as basis functions for developing various collocation methods.

We seek to use the orthogonal Hermite polynomials in developing the collocation methods. There are two ways of standardizing the Hermite polynomials with different variances namely: physicists' Hermite polynomials and the probabilists' (Hermite– Chebychev)Hermite polynomials (Koormwinder *et al.*, 2010, Patarroyo, 2020). However, most of the collocation methods in the literature are based on the physicists' Hermite polynomials except for a few as seen in Abada *et al.* (2017), Aboiyar *et al.* (2015) and Luga *et al.* (2019).

In this research, the work done by Luga *et al.*(2019) on the approximation of second order linear BVPs is extended to approximating higher order linear BVPs in ODEs. In their approach, they experimented with various degree of probabilists' Hermite polynomials (degree 3-8.) as basis function and established that, the higher order of the Hermite polynomial of the scheme was more accurate. The numerical results of

their collocation method and the ability of the scheme to circumvent the Runge phenomenon (the problem of oscillation associated with the use of higher order polynomial at equally spaced interval) coupled with the successes achieved by those who have implemented it on initial value problems (IVPs) prompted this research. However, we shall employ the probabilists'Hermite polynomial of degree ten as a basis function to construct a collocation method for approximating higher order linear BVPs (order 6,8 and 10). This research has been organized into five sections;

$$H_n(x) = (-1)^n exp\left(\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left(exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)\right) = \left(x - \frac{d}{dx}\right)^n \cdot$$

the first two members of the polynomial can be generated conveniently from equation (1), while the

$$H_{n+1}(x) = xH_n - H'_n(x).$$

The first eleven Probabilists' Hermite polynomials generated from equations (1) and (2) are provided below

 $\begin{array}{l} H_0(x) = 1 \\ H_1(x) = x \\ H_2(x) = x^2 - 1 \\ H_3(x) = x^3 - 3x \\ H_4(x) = x^4 - 6x^2 + 3 \\ H_5(x) = x^5 - 10x^3 + 15x \\ H_6(x) = x^6 - 15x^4 + 45x^2 - 15 \\ H_7(x) = x^7 - 21x^5 + 103x^3 - 105x \\ H_8(x) = x^8 - 28x^6 + 210x^4 - 420x^2 + 105 \\ H_9(x) = x^9 - 36x^7 + 378x^5 - 1260x^3 + 945x \\ H_{10}(x) = x^{10} - 45x^8 + 630x^6 - 315x^4 + 4725x^2 - 945 \end{array}$

section one is the general introduction, section two is the collocation methods, in sections three, results of the developed scheme are presented while sections four and five are discussions of results and conclusion respectively.

2. Methods

2.1 Probabilists' Hermite Polynomials

The Probabilits' Hermite polynomials according to (koormwinder *et al.*, 2010) are defined by

(1)

rest of the members are generated using the recursion formula;

(2)

(3)

2.2 **Higher Order Linear Boundary Problems** (BVPs)

$$y^{(n)}(x) = f\left(x, y(x), y'(x), y''(x) \cdots y^{(n-1)}(x)\right)$$

defined on the interval, [a, b] alongside the boundary conditions

$$y(a) = A_0, \quad y(b) = B_0$$

$$y'(a) = A_1, \quad y(b) = B_1$$

$$y''(a) = A_2, \quad y(b) = B_2$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$y^{(n-1)}(a) = A_{n-1}, \quad y^{n-1}(b) = B_{n-1}$$

where $A_0, A_1, A_2, ..., A_{n-1}$ and $B_0, B_1, B_2 \cdots B_{n-1}$ are real finite constants, f is a linear function and n is the order of the differential equation.

2.3 **Probabilists' Hermite Collocation Method**

To develop a collocation method for approximating equation (4) and (5), the first eleven terms of equation $y_n(x) = a_0H_0 + a_1H_1 + a_2H_2 + \dots + a_{10}H_{10}$

Equation (6) can be written in compact sigma notation

Collocation Method for General Linear

Higher Order ODEs Using Probalilists

2.3.1

$$y_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{10} a_j H_j(x)$$

Let x and y denote the independent and dependent variablesrespectively, an nth order linear boundary value problem is given by the formula;

(4)

(5)

In this work, we considered the cases where n =6,8and 10 together with k given boundary conditions equal to the order of the given ODE.

(3) are used to form a polynomial of degree 10 as given below:

Consider the linear *nth* order ordinary differential equation of the from:

$$P_n(x)y^{(n)}(x) + P_{n-1}y^{(n-1)}(x) + \cdots P_1(x)y'(x) + P_0(x)y(x) = f(x)$$
(8)

defined on the internal $a \leq x \leq b$. $P_n(x)$, $P_{n-1}(x) \dots P_0(x)$ are coefficients which may be

Hermite Polynomial

$$y(x) \approx y_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j H_j(x)$$
(9)

constants or functions of x. Assumed that (8) can be approximated using;

9)

where H_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots 10$ are as defined in equation (3) and a_j are coefficient which are to be found.

To find $a_{j, j} = 0, 1, 2, ..., 10$, equation (9) is differentiated *n* number of times corresponding to the

$$P_n(x)\sum_{j=0}^N \quad a_j H_j^{(n)}(x) + P_{n-1}(x)\sum_{j=0}^N \quad a_j H_j^{(n-1)}(x) + \dots +$$

$$P_1(x)\sum_{j=0}^N \quad a_j H_j'(x) + P_0(x)\sum_{j=0}^N \quad a_j H_j(x) = f(x).$$
(10)

The like coefficient from equation (11) are collected after which the equation is used for collocation at

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} \quad a_j P^*(x) Q_j(x) = f(x)$$

where $Q_j(x)$, j = 0, 1, ...n are polynomials of different degrees and $P^*(x)$ are coefficients which

2.4 Generating N + 1 System of Equations

To get a_j , j = 0, 1 ... N, N + 1 linear system of equations are generated using boundary conditions which are given alongside the differential equation, while the remaining equations are obtained from equation (11) at the collocation points.

 $y(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H(a) = A_{0}$ $y'(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H'(a) = A_{1}$ $y''(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H''_{j}(a) = A_{2}$ \vdots $y^{(n-1)}(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H^{(n-1)}_{j}(a) = A_{n-1}$

order of the ODE to be solved and substituted into the left-hand side of the equation (8) which yields

designated points as shown below

(11)

may be constants or functions of *x*.

2.4.1 Equations generated from the boundary conditions

The following equations are formulated from the boundary conditions:

For the lower boundary conditions, we have,

(12*a*)

Similarly, at the upper boundary, the following

$$y(b) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H(a) = B_{0}$$

$$y'(b) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H'(a) = B_{1}$$

$$y''(b) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H''_{j}(a) = B_{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y^{(n-1)}(b) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j} H^{(n-1)}_{j}(a) = B_{n-1}$$

where a and b represent the lower and the upper boundary points respectively, while (12a) and(12b) are the collocation equations using boundary points.

Suppose k = 2, ..., n are the equations generated from the boundary conditions, the remaining N + 1 - k equations are obtained from the collocation points

$$x_i = \frac{a + (b - a)i}{N - (k - 2)}, \qquad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots N - (k - 1)$$

where N is the degree of the approximating polynomial, while k corresponds to the number of boundary conditions. From the cases considered in this work, the order of the differential equation n is N

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n} \quad a_j P^*(x) Q_j(x_i) = f(x_i)$$

In actuality, equation (14) is used to generate the remaining N + 1 - k equations.

If 'k numbers of equations are generated from equations (12a) and (12b) respectively and the

equations are generated

(12*b*)

2.4.2 Equations generated at the collocation points

To get the remaining N + 1 - k equations, equation (11) is collocated at the points, $x = x_i$. These points are obtained from

equal to the number of boundary conditions.Equation (13) is used to obtaining the collocation points. To this end equation (11) is redefined as

(14)

remaining N + 1 - k equations are obtained from equation (14), altogether we have N + 1 systems of equations to solve in order to get the a_j 's, j =0,1,2,...N. In general, this can be represented by a squ*are* matrix as follows

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{00} & C_{01} & \cdots & C_{0N} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ C_{N+11} & C_{N+12} & \cdots & C_{NN} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_0 \\ d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_N \end{bmatrix}$$
(15)

where the coefficient matrix can be generated from;

$$C_{ij=} \begin{cases} H_j^{(i)}(a), & i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{k}{2} - 1, & j = 0, \dots, N\\ p_j^*(x_i), & i = \frac{k}{2}, \dots, & N - \frac{k}{2}, & j = 0 \dots, N\\ H_j^{\left(i - \left(N - \frac{k}{2} + 1\right)\right)}(b), & i = \left(N - \frac{k}{2} + 1\right), \dots, N, & j = 0, \dots, N \end{cases}$$
(16)

 $C_{i,j}, d_0, d_1, \dots, d_N$ are constants or functions of the independent variables and a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N are constants to be determined.

Here k is the number of side conditions also called the boundary conditions, corresponding to the order n of the ODE. Substituting, N = 10 and k = n = 6, 8 and 10 respectively, the various coefficient matrices for $y^{10}(\underline{x}) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2(x^2 - 1) + a_3(x^3 - 3x) + a_5(x^5 - 10x^3 + 15x) + a_6 + a_7(x^7 - 21x^5 + 105x^3 - 105x)a_8(x^8 - 28x^6 + 210x^4 - 420x^2 + 105) + a_9(x^9 - 36x^7 + 378x^5 - 1260x^3 + 945x) + a_{10}(x^{10} - 45x^8 + 630x^6 - 3150x^4 + 4725x^2 - 945)$

higher order ODEs are obtained and used to solve for $a_0, a_1, ..., a_N$ which are substituted into equation (9) to get the approximate solutions for higher order BVPs in ODEs. The approximate solution using a probabilists' Hermite polynomial of degree 10 in form of series is giving by;

3. Results

The method developed in the last Section is applied to approximate some problems on 6th, 8th and 10th order linear boundary value problems in ordinary differential equations. The absolute error is used to measure the efficiency of the numerical solutions obtained from the collocation method developed and are further compared with other numerical solutions of methods in literature. These are displayed in Tables (1-3).

Example 1

Consider the 6th order linear boundary value problem solved by Khalid *et al.*(2019)

$$y^{(6)} = y - 4 \exp \exp(x), \ 0 \le x \le 1$$

Subject to the boundary conditions

$$y(0) = 1, y(1) = 0 y'(0) = 0 y'(1) = -exp exp(1) y''(0) = -1 y''(1) = -2exp(1)$$

This has the following exact solution:

 $y(x) = (1-x) \exp \exp (x).$

The comparison of numerical solutions in terms of absolute values are reported in Table 1 for comparison:

S/N	x_n	Proposed method	Cubic B spline method	
			(Khalid <i>et al.</i> 2019)	
0	0	1.0020×10^{-17}	Not reported	
1	0.1	3.3205×10^{-12}	1.18×10^{-5}	
2	0.2	5.6871×10^{-12}	4.29×10^{-5}	
3	0.3	3.9195×10^{-12}	8.53×10^{-5}	
4	0.4	1.4763×10^{-12}	1.28×10^{-4}	
5	0.5	2.1722×10^{-12}	1.59×10^{-4}	
6	0.6	5.5411×10^{-12}	1.67×10^{-4}	
7	0.7	7.2541×10^{-12}	1.45×10^{-4}	
8	0.8	7.9767×10^{-12}	9.47×10^{-5}	
9	0.9	4.1402×10^{-12}	3.33×10^{-5}	
10	1.0	1.6298×10^{-17}	not reported	

Example 2

Consider the 8th order linear boundary value problem

solved by Reddy et al. (2017)

 $y^{(8)} - y = -8 \exp \exp(x), \ 0 \le x \le 1$

Subject to the given boundary conditions

$$y(0) = 1, y(1) = 0 y'(0) = 0 y'(1) = -exp exp(1) y''(0) = -1 y''(1) = -2exp(1) y'''(0) = -2 y'''(0)$$
$$= -3exp(1)$$

this has the following exact solution;

$$y(x) = (1 - x)exp(x).$$

The approximate solutions in terms of absolute values

are provided in Table 2 for comparison

S/N	x_n	Proposed Method	Haar wavelet (Reddy et al, 2017)	
0	0	6.2700×10^{-18} .	0	
1	0.1	1.4690×10^{-13}	6.3×10^{-11}	
2	0.2	3.9871×10^{-12}	6.5×10^{-10}	
3	0.3	1.4021×10^{-11}	$2.0 imes 10^{-9}$	
4	0.4	1.1723×10^{-11}	3.3×10^{-9}	
5	0.5	9.6118×10^{-12}	3.9×10^{-9}	
6	0.6	2.7190×10^{-11}	$3.4 imes 10^{-9}$	
7	0.7	2.1405×10^{-11}	2.0×10^{-11}	
8	0.8	5.3188×10^{-11}	6.9×10^{-10}	
9	0.9	2.1970×10^{-13}	7.6×10^{-11}	
10	1.0	1.0701×10^{-17}	not reported	

Table 2: comparison of numerical Solution	ns for Example 2
---	------------------

Example 3

Consider the 10th order linear boundary value

problem presented by Iqbal et al. (2015)

 $y^{(10)} = -(x^2 + 19x + 80) \exp \exp(x), \ 0 \le x \le 1$

Subject to the given boundary conditions

$$y(0) = 1, y(1) = 0 y''(0) = 0 y''(1) = -4e y'^{\nu}(0) = -8 y'^{\nu}(1) = -16e y^{\nu'}(0) = -24 y^{\nu'}(1)$$
$$= -36e y^{\nu''}(0) = -2 y^{\nu''}(1) = -64e \}$$

this has the following exact solution

y(x) = (1 - x)exp(x).

S/N	x_n	Proposed method	Iqbal et al .(2015)	
0	0	8.0000×10^{-21}	Type equation here.	Type equation here.
1	0.1	2.1509×10^{-5}		
2	0.2	4.1015×10^{-5}	2.238×10^{-4}	
3	0.3	5.6671×10^{-5}		
4	0.4	6.6945×10^{-5}	3.745×10^{-4}	
5	0.5	7.0770×10^{-5}		
6	0.6	6.7667×10^{-5}	4.271×10^{-4}	
7	0.7	5.7847×10^{-5}		
8	0.8	4.2185×10^{-5}	3.308×10^{-4}	
9	0.9	2.2232×10^{-5}		
10	1.0	2.1100×10^{-19}		

4. Discussion

Three problems involving sixth, eighth, and tenth order non-homogeneous linear boundary value problem with constant coefficient, together with even number boundary conditions corresponding to the order of the boundary value problems considered. The solution to the boundary value problem was approximated using the proposed scheme. The implementation was carried out in MAPLE. The approximated solutions were in agreement with the analytical results and were compared with other numerical methods in the literature and displayed in Tables 1 - 3. In the case of the sixth order BVP in example 1, the largest absolute error is $7.9767 \times$ 10^{-12} . This shows a better solutions than that provided by Khalid et al. (2019). For Example 2 which is an eighth order boundary value problem, together with eight boundary conditions, the largest

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the Joseph Tarka University Makurdi for her moral support and also appreciate the Benue State University Makurdi for their support of the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- Abada, A. A., Aboiyar, T. and Awari, Y. S. (2017).
 Two Steps Block Hybrid Method for the Solution of y''=f(x, y,y').*Academic Journal of Mathematical Science*, 3(4):40-45.
- Abd-Elhameed, W. N. (2015). Some Algorithms for Solving Third-Order Boundary Value Problems

absolute error in this case is, $5 \cdot 3188 \times 10^{-11}$, which is relatively better when compared to the numerical solution of the same problem as reported by Reddy *et al*,(2017)which numerical solution has a maximum absolute error of $3 \cdot 9 \times 10^{-9}$. The result in Example 3 which is a tenth order BVP shows that, the largest absolute error observed is, $7 \cdot 0770 \times 10^{-5}$; which shows a relatively accurate result when compared to the absolute error using Polynomial Cubic Spline method (PCSM) as reported by Iqbal *et al*. (2015).

5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, probabilists' Hermite polynomial of degree 10 has been utilized as basis function to construct a collocation method for approximating higher order boundary value problems. The method was observed to be computationally efficient and the algorithm can be implemented on a computer.

using Novel Operational Matrices of Generalized Jacobi Polynomials, *Journal of Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2015:1-10.

- Aboiyar, T., Luga, T., and Iyorter, B. V. (2015).
 Derivation of Continuous Linear Multistep Method using Hermite as Basis Functions.
 American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, 3(6): 220-225.
- Adeyeye, O., and Omar, Z. (2019) Solving Fourth
 Order Linear Initial and Boundary Value
 problem using an Implicit Block Method.
 Procedings of the Third International
 Conference on Computing, Mathematics and

Statistics (ICMS) 2017:167-177. Retrieved from https://www.reserchgate.net/publication /332016357.

- Akram,G. and Rehman, H.U. (2013). Numerical Solution of Eight Order Boundary Value Problems in Reproducing Kernel space. Retrieved from, <u>https://www.reseachgate.net/257634627</u>.
- Aminataei, A. and Imani A. (2011). Collocation method via Jacobi polynomials for Solving Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 8:1-11
- Aydialik, S. and Keris, A. (2016). A Generalized Chebyshev Finite Difference Method for Higher Order Boundary Value Problems. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 2:1-16. Retrieved from <u>http://arxiv.org</u>.
- Dolapci, J. T. (2004). Chebychev collocation Method for Solving Linear Differential Equations, *Mathematical and computational Applications*. 9(1):107-115.
- Fazal, I. H. and Ali, A.(2011).Numerical Solution Of Fourth Order Boundary Value Problem Using Haar Wavelets.*Applied Mathematical Science*, 5(63):3131-3146.
- Gurbitz, B, Gulsu, M., Ozturk, Y. and Sezer, M. (2011). Laquerre Polynomial Approach for Solving Linear Delay Difference Equations. *Applied Mathematics and Computations*. 217 (2011):6765-6776.

- Hossain,B. M., and Islam, S. M.(2013).Numerical Solution of General Fourth Order Two Point Boundary Value ProblemsbyGalerkin Method with Legendre Polynomials. *Dhaka University Journal of Science*, 62(2):103-105.
- Iqbal, M. J., Rehman, S., Pervaiz, A. and Hakeem A. (2015). Approximating for Linear Tenth-Order Boundary Value Problems Through Polynomial and Non Polynomial Cubic Spine. Technique, *Proceeding of the Pakistan Academy of Science*, 52(4):389-396.
- Khalid, A. Naeem, M. N. Agarwal, P.Ghaffar,
 A.Ullah, Z.Jain,S. (2019).Numerical
 Approximation for the solution of linear Sixth
 Order Boundary Value Problems by Cubic BSpline, Springer Advances in Difference
 Equations, 2019:492
- Koormwinder, T.H., Wong, R. S. C., Koekoer, R. and Swarthouw, R. F. (2010). Orthogonal polynomial in Olver, F. W. J., Lozier , D. M., Boisvert, R. F., Clark, C.W., Handbook of Mathematical Functions, United State of America: Cambridge University press.
- Li, E. Qiao, Z and Clays, T. (2018). Introduction to Finite Differential and Finite Element Method. Wuhan, China, St Ives PLC.
- Luga, T., Veshima, B.J. And Isah, S. S. (2019). Probabilists' Hermite Collocation Method for Approximating Second Order Linear Boundary Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations. *Journal of Mathematics*, 15(5):43-52.

- Nagaich, R. K, and Kumar, H. (2014). Hermite Collocation Method for Numerical Solution of Second Order Parabolic Partial Differential Equations. *International Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistical Science*, 3(3): 45-52.
- Patarroyo, K. Y. (2020). A Digression on Hermite Polynomials. Retrieved from:arxiv:1901.01648v2.
- Razali, N. L., Chowdhury, M. S. H. and Wagar, A. (2016). Solution of Higher Order Boundary Value Problems by Homotopy Perturbation Method. *Malaysian journal of Mathematical Science*, 10 (5). 373-387.

Reddy, A.P., Harageri, M. and Selves us, C. (2017). A numerical Approach to solving Eighth Order Boundary value Problems by Haar Wavelet collocation Method *Journal of Mathematical Modelling*,05(01):6.

- Siddiqi, S. S. and Iftikhar, M. (2013). Numerical Solution of Higher Order Boundary Value Problems. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2013 :1-12
- Wazwaz, A, M. (2000). Approximate Solution to Boundary Value Problems of Higher Order by the Modified Decomposition Method. An International Journal Computer and Mathematics with Applications, 40(2000): 679-691.
- Xu, X. And Zhou, F. (2015). Numerical Solution for the Eight – Order Initial and Boundary Value

Problems using the Second Kind Chebyshev Wavelet.Advances Mathematical Physics.2015:1-9.

- Yalcinbas, S. Ozsoy, N. and Sezer, M. (2010) Approximate Solution of Higher Order Linear Differential Equations by means of a New Rational Chebychev collocation Method. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 15(1):45-56.
- Yisa, B. M. (2015). Comparative Analysis of the Numerical Effectiveness of four kinds of Chebychev Polynomials. Journal of Nigerian Association of Mathematics and Physics. 32:193-198.